



Council

1 March 2018

Revisions to the Council's Constitution

Report of the Monitoring Officer

1. Summary

This report summarises the outcomes of the review of the Constitution and makes recommendations for revising it and adopting schemes for Citizens and Opposition Groups' questions at meetings.

2. Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED that Council:

- a. consider and approve the revisions to the Constitution
- b. adopt the model scheme for Citizens' questions at Council and Cabinet, set out in Appendix A to this report, for use by the Borough Council, initially for a twelve month trial period
- c. endorse the model scheme for Opposition Groups' questions at Cabinet, set out in Appendix B to this report, as adopted for use by Cabinet, initially for a twelve month trial period
- d. agree to the insertion of a definition of the leader of the main opposition group into the proposed revisions, in the manner set out in Appendix C to this report, and adopt the other references to that role in the proposed revisions, with the exception of the rights to ask questions contained within the proposed standing orders for Overview and Scrutiny and Cabinet.

3. Reasons for Recommendation

- 3.1 The Borough has a duty to keep its Constitution up to date and the proposed revisions are the outcome of the review of the Constitution undertaken by a Task and Finish Group ("the TFG") during 2017 and early 2018, workshops with Councillors on 5 and 6 February 2018 and discussions and decisions at Corporate Governance Group and Cabinet.

4. Supporting Evidence

- 4.1 **The Review:** The TFG was established by the Corporate Governance Group to carry out a more in depth review of the Constitution than the "soft touch" review that was endorsed by the Council on 8 December 2016. The terms of reference of the TFG included the following:

- a) to review the accessibility, utility and usability of the current Constitution and improve it;
- b) to review the structure of the current Constitution to improve its content, layout and flow as a practical working document;
- c) to identify and prioritise specific areas of content and procedures for detailed review, noting that, in time, all sections will be reviewed.

4.2 The TFG has followed these terms when prompting and considering the work of officers involved in the review. The TFG established a programme of work and meetings throughout 2017 and early 2018 and approached the task sequentially through considering and discussing an Issues paper on one Part of the current Constitution at one meeting and, then, at the next meeting, discussing the detailed drafting generated by that initial discussion, as well as considering an Issues paper on the next Part. During the year, the TFG considered all parts of the current Constitution and has consistently applied terms of reference a) and b), with a view to making changes which change the Constitution from being a large static document which is mainly used as an occasional source of reference for officers, to one which is capable of bringing relevant material to the immediate attention of Councillors, officers and members of the public when it is most relevant to them

4.3 This expectation has also driven the preparation of significant textual changes, that are referred to in the following paragraphs that comment on the proposed changes to each Part of the Constitution.

4.4 Workshops held with Councillors showed support for the revisions and support for trialling arrangements for public questions at Council and Cabinet and Opposition Groups' questions at Cabinet. A copy of the revised Constitution has been sent to all councillors and is available on the website and as a background paper.

4.5 **Summary of proposed changes:**

Part 1 – Introduction: The proposed removal of the Articles from the Constitution (see commentary on Part 2 below) requires, in turn, significant changes to the Introduction and the opportunity was taken to give it a more local focus and include more succinct summaries of what the other Parts covered.

Part 2 – Political Leadership and Management Structure (formerly the Articles): At an early stage the TFG agreed to the removal of the Articles from the Constitution. When Constitutions were introduced into local government, through the Local Government Act 2000, they, generally, followed a national template prepared by central government, which included a part containing Articles which were intended to describe the overall principles of the governance model being used by any particular council (for Rushcliffe, the leader and cabinet model), with detailed operational provisions contained in the other Parts of the Constitution. A difficulty with this has been that the standard drafting did not restrict the Articles to matters of principle and it is necessary, on some issues, to draw detailed requirements out from both the Articles and the other Parts in order to establish the clear and complete position on an issue. A good example of this, for Rushcliffe, is that, in the current Constitution, the definition of a Key Decision is held within the Articles whilst the detailed procedural requirements that relate to them are located

elsewhere. This adds unnecessary complication to actually using the Constitution and the proposed revisions delete the Articles and reallocate any essential elements within them to the most relevant Part of the Constitution, mainly by reallocation to Part 1 - the Introduction, Part 3 – Responsibility for Functions and Scheme of Delegation and Part 4 – Standing Orders, Rules and Financial Regulations.

Part 7 of the current Constitution describes the management structure and does not have cross-references elsewhere, so, to avoid cross-referencing problems from the deletion of the Articles, it is proposed to re-number Part 7 as Part 2.

Part 3 – Responsibility for Functions and Scheme of Delegation (formerly Responsibility for Functions): The reallocation of material from the Articles has expanded this Part, particularly through describing and clarifying some of the key components of the executive governance arrangements of Rushcliffe - as operated by the Council, the Leader and Cabinet, Overview and Scrutiny and, also, setting out the Scheme of Delegation and the terms of reference for Committees, Groups, Panels and Boards.

Part 4 – Standing orders, Rules and Financial regulations (formerly, Rules of Procedure): again, absorbing material from the Articles has expanded this Part. The proposed change of Heading reflects the reversion to the use of the wording “Standing Orders” for the arrangements which govern the conduct of formal meetings. The national template for Constitutions introduced the use of the wording “Procedure Rules” for what were formerly Standing Orders, notwithstanding the fact that one of only two actual statutory requirements for the content of Constitutions (section 37(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2000) is to have “Standing Orders”, and other statutorily imposed and mandatory procedural requirements are also termed as “Standing Orders”. Most councillors have always used the traditional wording and it is proposed that this be reinstated in the revised constitution for formal meetings.

In the interests of clarity and ease of use, the proposed revisions include the creation of separate Standing Orders for committees, etc. Currently, some, but not all, of the Council Procedure Rules are applied to all Committees, etc. The creation of a specific set for committees, etc., will dovetail with the ability to have electronic links to these on the Committee agenda. Within these new Standing Orders, it is proposed to retain the numbering used for the Council Rules of Procedure.

There are changes proposed to the Standing Orders for Council to clarify the rules of debate and to provide flowcharts for debate on a main motion and, also to cover an amendment debate.

Only minor textual changes, along with the insertion of a flowchart on Capital Budgets, are proposed to the Financial Regulations as these were reviewed in 2016.

The Officer Employment Rules of Procedure are proposed to be moved into Part 4, as they are more appropriately located there.

Part 5 – Codes and Protocols: a review of the Code of Conduct for Councillors, which may involve related material in Part 5 (e.g. Protocol for the

Registration of Gifts and Hospitality, Guidance on Planning Application Procedures and Protocol on Councillor: Officer Relations) is underway but will involve a longer timescale than the review of the Constitution, given the greater number of stakeholders, including Parish and Town Councillors in the borough. The TFG were clear that they were not prepared to delay their report on this review through waiting for the review on the Code to be concluded. As a result there are, currently, no changes proposed to the above Codes and protocols but the opportunity has been taken to propose the deletion of some other, very detailed material within this Part, being the Protocol for the Councillors' Call for Action and the Officers' Code of Conduct. These will remain available through links to the current versions but are not statutorily required for inclusion in a Constitution.

Part 6 – Members' Allowances Scheme (formerly Members Allowances Structure): other than correcting a textual error in the heading, no changes are proposed.

Part 7- Management Structure: to become Part 2 with additional content showing political leadership.

4.6 **Leader of the main opposition group**

The TFG considered recognising the role of the leader of the main opposition group through specific references at appropriate parts of the revised Constitution. In the draft-revised Constitution, these are identified by red type. Recommendation 2(d) and Appendix C above reflect discussions at the workshops and the decision of Cabinet with regard to Opposition Groups' questions (see 4.7 below).

4.7 **Public and Opposition Group' Questions**

The proposed revisions include material in Standing Orders for the Planning Committee which reflects the public speaking rights introduced in 2017 but do not include a wider scheme for public questions at Council and/or Cabinet as discussions on this with a wider group of councillors had not yet endorsed a model scheme. A suggested scheme was presented in the workshops, amended to reflect discussions there and then reported to Corporate Governance Group and Cabinet, where they were supported. Appendix A, therefore, sets out a Model Scheme for Citizens' Questions at Council and Cabinet for adoption by Council, initially for a twelve month trial period.

The workshops also discussed a model scheme for Opposition Groups' questions at Cabinet meetings, which was reported to Corporate Governance Group and Cabinet, with the latter adopting it for a twelve month trial period. This scheme is set out at Appendix B and is recommended for endorsement by Council.

5. **Risk and Uncertainties**

The proposals do not involve the council in assuming any significant risk

6. **Implications**

6.1. **Finance**

There are no direct financial implications arising from these proposals.

6.2. Legal

Under section 37 of the Local Government Act 2000 the Council has a duty to keep its Constitution up to date and that section also prescribes its minimum content. The proposals in this report comply with those requirements.

7. Corporate Priorities

The proposed revisions should make it easier for members of the public, councillors and officers to access, and use, materials which are essential to effective and efficient democratic decision-making .

For more information contact:	Glen O'Connell Monitoring Officer 0115 914 8332 GOConnell@rushcliffe.gov.uk
Background papers Available for Inspection:	Draft revised Constitution
List of appendices (if any):	Appendix A – Model Scheme for Citizens' Questions at Council and Cabinet Appendix B – Model Scheme for Opposition Groups' Questions Appendix C – Draft insertion to Part 1 of revised Constitution



Appendix A

Council Thursday 1 March 2018 Revision to the Council's Constitution

Draft Model Scheme for Citizens Questions at Council/Cabinet

1. Total time limit of 15 minutes at meetings for Citizens questions.
2. Right limited to Rushcliffe residents and business owners in Rushcliffe ('Citizens').
3. Questions to Leader, portfolio holders and Committee Chairmen – Mayor /Leader has discretion to direct questions to most appropriate responder.
4. Submission in writing 7 working days before the meeting.
5. Can be rejected by the Chief Executive in consultation with the Mayor (for Council) or the Leader (for Cabinet), for good reasons:
 - Not Council responsibility
 - Defamatory, vexatious, offensive, etc.
 - Similar to or asked in last 6 months
 - Response would disclose confidential or exempt information
 - Relates to matters currently under investigation, in complaints process or with Ombudsman
 - Relates to a matter where Council has a quasi-judicial or regulatory role.
6. Limit of one question per Citizen for meeting.
7. Citizen can ask the question, but Mayor/Leader can read it if Citizen unable to attend or for other good reasons.
8. Written answers given to questions not dealt with at the meeting.
9. Questions dealt with in order received.
10. No debate on the question, but responder can refer it to another council body.
11. No supplementary questions.



Appendix B

Cabinet Thursday 1 March 2018 Revision to the Council's Constitution

Draft Model for Opposition Groups' Questions at Cabinet

1. Each opposition group Leader (or nominee) can ask one question relevant to an agenda item.
2. 5 Minutes in total for each question and answer (including any supplementary and answer).
3. Questions received 3 working days before the meeting.
4. Questions dealt with in order received.
5. Leader can direct who shall answer the question.
6. Question can be rejected for good reason (as per rejection criteria for Citizens Questions).
7. No debate on the question, but responder can refer it to another Council body.
8. One Supplementary Question is allowed directly relevant to the original question.



Appendix C

Cabinet

Tuesday 13 February 2018

Revision to the Council's Constitution

Leader of the Main Opposition Group



Councillor MacInnes is the current Leader of the Main Opposition Group. Where there is an opposition political group, which contains more councillors than any other opposition group, the role of its leader is recognised under the Constitution by:

- Expectations on the Leader and the Chief Executive to liaise with that group leader on emerging issues on the Council's policies and procedures (see Part 3, sections 1.4 and 1.8(g)).
- Granting rights within the Rules of Debate at full Council to speak immediately following the seconding of a motion, or to nominate another Councillor to do so.